



## Research Paper

# Replacement Theory as a Solution for the Existential Treatment of Evil

Zahra Mirzakarimi\*<sup>1</sup>  Mehdi Azimi<sup>2</sup>  
, 

 10.22080/jre.2024.25815.1182

**Received:**  
August 2, 2022  
**Accepted:**  
February 13, 2022  
**Available online:**  
September 5, 2023

**Keywords:**  
compensation, justice,  
the problem of evil, the  
existential problem of  
evil, genuine relation

## Abstract

The problem of evil, which is considered one of the most challenging philosophical-theological issues, is specifically considered as a way to challenge the essence of God's existence or God's perfect attributes. For this reason, philosophers with different religions have tried to respond to different interpretations of the problem of evil in its natural, moral and existential aspects. Although the existential aspect of the problem of evil has been neglected in most of the answers. In the meantime, Muslim theologians have tried to show the compatibility of evil with the doctrine of divine justice by presenting and cultivating the theory of compensation. In this research, after examining some types of answers to the problem of evil, and mentioning the views of some theologians, especially the elders of Imamiyyah, the basics of the compensation theory are analyzed, as well as the rational and narrative evidence of the theory. Also, the theory of justice, which tells about the right of the oppressed from the oppressor by God, is presented as a complement to the theory of compensation. This theory does not ignore the existential aspect of the problem of evil, therefore, in this research, it has been read as a solution to the existential aspect of evil. Also, in comparison with Yalom's psychotherapy theories, it is shown that the compensation theory becomes meaningful in the light of an authentic and real relationship between God and man, and it can be seriously considered as a therapeutic solution for people affected by suffering.

\*Corresponding Author: Zahra Mirzakarimi  
Address: Click or tap here to enter text.

Email: [zahramkarimi22@gmail.com](mailto:zahramkarimi22@gmail.com)



## Extended Abstract

### 1. Introduction

The problem of the nature of evil and finding the cause of its occurrence is considered one of the most challenging issues among philosophers and theologians, both Islamic and Western. Atheist philosophers cling to the problem of evil and try to undermine the essence of God's existence or limit his attributes. Hume (1776-1711 AD), William Roe (1931-2015 AD), and Michael Martin (1932-2015 AD) considered God's attributes of absolute goodness, absolute power, and absolute knowledge to be contradictory to the existence of evil and challenged religious claims by citing them. This incompatibility of religious beliefs is referred to as the logical problem of evil (Martin, 1978, p. 133, 2001, p. 74; Rowe, Hume, 2007, p. 86). Whitehead (1861, 1947 AD), by limiting some attributes of God, including his absolute power, introduces God as subject to the basic laws of the universe, which itself is a part of this universe (Plantinga, 2016, p. 42). John Stuart Mill (1806-1873 AD), by removing evil from the domain of divine power and limiting his power to charity, loses faith in divine absolute power (Saeidi Mehr, 2016, p. 209). In this way, these thinkers try to answer the problem of evil by defining divine attributes. The existence of evil in the world is used as an argument against the existence of God, which is referred to as the relative problem of evil (Peterson & Raymond, 2004, p.5). Regardless of the logical and philosophical angle, if one looks at the problem of evil from an emotional lens, then the philosophical and logical answers to the problem of evil become ineffective; because a person is psychologically unable to continue his romantic relationship with God and worship him by observing evil in his life. In this emotional atmosphere, man is not looking for a rational justification for the existence of evil or the incompatibility of God and evil; rather he needs to heal his

heart and restore his relationship with God. The existential problem of evil looks at the evil in the world from this angle (Pourmohammadi, 2012, p. 19).

In this study, by rereading the replacement solution, an attempt is made to address the often neglected aspect of the problem of evil, which is its emotional dimension.

### 2. Method

The approach used in this research is a library method. Comprehensive software is also used as a tool in this study.

### 3. Findings

The problem of evil, which is considered one of the most challenging philosophical and theological issues, is specifically considered as a way to challenge the essence of God's existence or the attributes of divine perfection. For this reason, different thinkers have tried to respond to different interpretations of the problem of evil in its natural, moral, and existential dimensions; nevertheless, the existential aspect of the problem of evil has been neglected in most of the answers. In the meantime, Muslim theologians have tried to show the compatibility of evil with the doctrine of divine justice by presenting and cultivating the theory of substitutions. This theory does not neglect the existential aspect of the problem of evil; therefore, in this research, it has been read as a responsive solution to the existential aspect of evil. Moreover, in comparison with Yalom's psychotherapy theories, it is shown that the replacement theory becomes meaningful in the light of an original and real relationship between God and man, and it can be seriously considered as a therapeutic solution for people affected by suffering.

### 4. Result

What is concluded from this study is that if one assigns a share to God in creating



evil directly and indirectly, or at least considers him capable of preventing the creation of evil, then the question will be raised as to how he consents to the creation of this evil. In this way, the simultaneous belief in the existence of evil and his existence seems to indicate the incompatibility of religious beliefs, or the existence of evil can be considered proof against his existence and perfect qualities. In addition, the emotional connection of a suffering person with God is damaged and he finds himself in a psychological situation where he does not have the desire to worship God. The psychological dimension of the problem of suffering and the impact it can have on challenging the meaning of life doubles the importance of finding a suitable answer to the existential aspect of this problem. Muslim theologians, by presenting the replacement theory and by insisting on the rational foundations that prove God's justice, assure the suffering person that God is responsible for this evil and compensates these sufferings in a good way. This accountability of God indicates the existence of a genuine and real relationship between him and man; because if this relationship was unreal, God would not consider himself obligated to answer. Also, when a person, as a being in love with God, looks at this evil, the problem of evil is completely eliminated for him and the two-way relationship between him and God will not be damaged; a relationship that, according to Martin Buber's interpretation, is me-thou, and Mulla Sadra has referred to it with a more precise and subtle interpretation as the existence of a connection; a being whose existence is the same as belonging to and needing God and has such strength that the evil does not

Alam al-Hodia, S. M. (1419 AH). Explanation of Jamal al-Alam va al-Alam, Tehran: Al-Awqaf and Al-Khariyya Organization., Dar al-Aswa for Printing and Publishing.

bother him and on the contrary, makes God accountable to the evil.

### **Funding**

There is no funding support.

### **Contribution of Authors**

The first and responsible author is Zahra Mirzakarimi, M.A. in Islamic philosophy and theology.

The second author is Dr. Mehdi Azimi, associate professor at Tehran University.

### **Conflict of interest**

In relation to the publication of the presented article, the authors have completely avoided plagiarism, misconduct, falsification of data, or double submission and publication. There is no conflict of interest and the authors received no funds for presenting their work. The corresponding author signs and confirms this form on behalf of other authors and declares the originality of its content. The corresponding author also declares that this work has not been published elsewhere and has not been submitted to another publication at the same time. Also, all rights to use the content, tables, images, etc. It has been assigned to the publisher.

### **Acknowledgment**

After praising and thanking God Almighty and dedicating it to the Hazrat Vali Asr (A.S.), the author appreciates all the scientific consultants in this research. The author also appreciates his dear parents and siblings, his kind wife, as well as his dear professor Dr. Mehdi Azimi, who accompanied and helped him from idea generation to implementation.

### **References**

- Anuri, H. (1382). Big Culture of Sokhon. Sokhon Publications.
- Askari, A. Criticism of the irrefutability of God's existence. Qom: Bostan Kitab.



- Askari, H. A. (1400 A.H.). *Al-Farooq Fi El-Legha*. Beirut: Dar Al-Afaq Al-Jadidah.
- Bayat, A. R., & others. (2018). *The Culture of Words (Introduction to Contemporary Schools and Thoughts)*. Samt Publications.
- Buber, M. (1380). *Me and you*. translated by Abu Tarab Sohrab and Elham Attardi, Farzan Rouz publishing house.
- Buber, M. (1380). *Is it possible to face God*. translated by Morteza Karimi. Haft Asman magazine, 43.
- Chobak, S. (1345). *Seng Sabour*. Tehran, Javidan Publications
- Clark, J (1389). *Return to Reason*. translated by: Naimeh Pourmohammadi and Mehdi Faraji.
- Dehbashi, M. (1386). *Comparative research in ontology and epistemology by Mulla Sadra and Whitehead*. Tehran, Nashr Alam, first edition.
- Faiz Kashani, M. M. M. (1418). *Alam al-Iqin fi Asul al-Din*. Qom: Bidar., first edition, vol.2.
- Farahidi, K. A. (1409 AH). *Al-Ain*. Qom: Hijrat Publishing.
- Fasihi, A. A. (1389). *Criticism of Max Weber's view on religion and the meaning of life*. *Journal of Cultural and Social Knowledge*, 1.
- Fayoumi, A. M. (1414). *Al-Masbah al-Munirfi Gharib al-Sharh al-Kabeer* by Rafa'i. Qom: Dar al-Hajra Institute, second edition.
- Fazel Moqdad. (1364). *Al-Nafi Yom al-Hashr in the description of the eleventh chapter, Mahdi Mohaghegh's research*, Islamic Studies Institute Publications.
- Fazil Moqdad. (1405). *Irshad al-Talbeen to Nahj al-Mustarshdin*. Qom: Public Library of Hazrat Ayatollah Grand Ayatollah Murashi Najafi "Ra".
- G.L. M., & others. (1374). *Philosophical words*. translated by Ahmad Naraghi and Ibrahim Soltani, vol. 1.
- Halli, H. Y. (1982). *Nahj al-Haq and Kashf al-Saddeq*. Beirut: Dar al-Kitab al-Lebanani, first edition.
- Halli, H. Y. (1395 AH). *Dalil al-Sadeq*. Basirtari Publications of Qom.
- Hick, J. (1376). *Philosophy of Religion*. translated by Behzad Saleki, Tehran: Hoda International.
- Hick, J. (2007). *Evil and The God of love*, New Haven. London: Palgrave Macmillan, Yale University press
- Hosseini al-Obaydli, A. M. (1381). *Eshraq al-Lahut in criticism of Illiaqut's narrative*. research by Ali Akbar Ziyai, Tehran: Megtoob Maktoob.
- Hume, D. (2007). *Dialogues Concerning Natural Religion and Other Writings*. Ed. Dorothy Colema. U.S.A: Cambridge University Press.
- Ibn Athir, M. (1364). *Al-Nahiyah fi Gharib Hadith and Al-Athar*. Volume 2. Qom: Ismailian.
- Ibn Manzoor, M. M. *Arab Language*. Beirut: Darsar.
- Ibn Sina, H. A. (1400 AH). *Ibn Sina's Epistles*. Qom: Bidar
- Ibn Sina, H. A. (1363). *Theology of healing*. By the efforts of the mentioned Ibrahim, Tehran: Nasser Khosro.
- Ibn Sina, H. A. (1377). *Salvation Theology*. translated by Yahya Yatharbi, Tehran: Fekr Rooz.
- Johri, I. H. (1965). *Taj al-Lagheh and Sahah al-Arabi*. research: Abdul Ghafoor Attar, vol. 2, Beirut: Darul Alam Lamlayin.



- Jurjani, A. M. Sharh al-Maqsih. Qom: al-Sharif al-Razi.
- Lahiji, A. R. A. (1383). Gohar Murad. Tehran: Sayeh publishing house.
- Leibniz, G. W. (1375). Menadology. translated by Yahya Mahdavi, Tehran: Khwarazmi.
- Martin, M. (1978). Is Evil Evidence Against the Existence of God? Mind.
- May. R. (1958). Contributions of existential psychotherapy. In R. May, E. Angel, & H. F. Ellenberger (Eds.), Existence: A new dimension in psychiatry and psychology (pp. 37-91). New York: Basic Books.
- Mofid. (1413). Early essays. Qom: Al-Motamar Alami for Sheikh Al-Mofid.
- Mofid. (1413 AH). Al-Naqt al-Ithaqadiyyah. Qom: Al-Congress al-Alfiyyah al-Sheikh al-Mufid.
- Mohabati, M., & Ali Zamani, A. A. (1391). Suffering, faith, and meaning from Dostoyevsky's point of view. Journal of Islamic Philosophy and Kalam, 45(1).
- Motahari, M. (1376). Adl Elahi. Tehran: Sadra.
- News, V. (1393). An overview of existential psychotherapy. Analytical Research Quarterly of Psychology and Art.
- Peterson, M. L., & Raymond, J. V. (eds). (2004). Contemporary Debates in the Philosophy of Religion. Blackwell.
- Plantinga, A. (1396). Philosophical words. translated by Ebrahim Soltani and Ahmad Naraghi, Tehran: New Design.
- Pourmohammadi, N. (1392). Answer to the Existential Problem of Evil in Christian Theology, Essays on Philosophy of Religion. Research Institute of Humanities and Cultural Studies, 2(4), pp. 37-19
- Pourmohammadi, N. (1393). Pastoral theology and the answer to the problem of evil. religious studies, 1(3 & 4).
- Pourmohammadi, N. (1399). About evil. 2 volumes, Qom: Taha.
- Qazi Abd al-Jabbar. (1422). Sharh al-Asul al-Khumsa. Beirut: Dar Ihya al-Trath al-Arabi.
- Rabbani Golpayegani. (1392). Al-Qawam al-Kalamiyyah. Qom: Al-Imam Al-Sadiq, peace be upon him.
- Ragheb Esfahani, H. (1426). The vocabulary of the Qur'an. Research by Safwan Adnan. Qom: Talia Noor.
- Raymond van Aragon. (2019). Key terms in the philosophy of religion. translated by Hassan Ahmadizadeh, Tehran: Scientific and Cultural Publications.
- Rumi, Divan Shams, Ghazal No. 14
- Sadr al-Din Shirazi. M. I. (1363). Mufatih al-Ghaib. edited by: Mohammad Khajawi, Tehran: Cultural Research Institute.
- Sadr al-Din Shirazi. M. I. (1981). Al-Hikmah al-Muttaaliyyah fi al-Asfar al-Aqli al-Araba. Beirut: Dar Rehya Trarat al-Arabi
- Sadr al-Din Shirazi. M. I. Al-Hashieh Ali Elhiyat al-Shifa. Qom: Bidar.
- Saidi Mehr, M. (1376). Lawsuits and a new interpretation of the problem of evil. Naqd and Nazar, 10, 213-198.
- Sartre. (1376). Being and not being. Translated by Inayatullah Shakibafar. Shahriar Publications.



- Shearani, A. (1393). The description of abstraction of belief. Tehran: Islamiya Publications.
- Sheikh Sadouq. (1371). Al-Attiqadat. Qom: Al-Afya Al-Sheikh Al-Mufid International Conference.
- Sobhani, J. (1387). Encyclopedia of Islamic Theology. Qom: Institute of Imam Sadiq (peace be upon him).
- Taftazani, M. O. (1412 AH). Sharh al-Maqasid. Qom: Al-Sharif al-Razi.
- The Holy Quran
- Tusi, K. N. (1375). Sharh Al-Isharat and Al-Tanbihat with the Courts. Qom: Al-Balagheh Publishing House.
- Tusi, M. H. (1406). Al-Iqtsad Fima Taaloq bil Eteqad. Beirut: Dar al-Al-Athood.
- Yalom. (1389). Existential psychotherapy. translated by Sepideh Habib. Ni publication.
- Yalom. A. (1389). Staring at the sun. translated by Mehdi Ghobrati, Niko Nash.
- Yalom. (1390). Schopenhauer's treatment. Translated by Sepideh Habib. Drop publication.
- Yalom. (1394). Staring at the Sun, Overcoming the Fear of Death. translated by M. Ghobraei, Tehran: Nikunshar.
- Yalom. (1396). Existential psychotherapy. translated by Sepideh Habib, Tehran: Ni.
- Yalom. (1400). The Concern of Death and Life. translated by Farzad Mortezaei, Deng Publications
- Zubeidi, M. Taj al-Aros. vol. 3, Beirut: Dar-lhakmah
- Zubeidi, M. M. (1414). Taj al-Arus Man Jawaher al-Qamoos. Beirut: Dar al-Fikr.