Journal of Explorations in Philosophy and Religion
Volume 4. Issue 1. Spring and Summer 2025. Pages 161 to 180.

Research Paper

Design or Chance? Assessing Michael Behe's Irreducible Complexity Argument and Graham Oppy's Philosophical Challenges

Seyed Mohsen Hashemi[*1], Mohammad Mohammad Rezaei[2]

[1] Master's degree, Philosophy of Religion, Farabi Campus, University of Tehran
[2] Professor of Islamic Philosophy, Farabi Campus, University of Tehran

[*]**Corresponding Author:** Seyed Mohsen Hashemi
Address: Farabi Campus, University of Tehran
Email: Click or tap here to enter text.
Tel: 09123519673

Volume 4. Issue 1. Spring and Summer 2025 Pages 161 to 180.

10.22080/jepr.2025.28829.1267

Received:
January 12, 2025
Accepted:
June 17, 2025
Available online:
July 13, 2025

Keywords:
Irreducible Complexity, Michael Behe, Graham Oppy, Theory of Evolution, Intelligent Design

Abstract

This article examines and evaluates Michael Behe's argument of irreducible complexity as a cornerstone of the intelligent design theory, alongside Graham Oppy's philosophical challenges to it. Behe contends that certain biological systems possess interdependent components, such that the removal of any single part renders the entire system non-functional, and such complex systems cannot be explained by gradual evolutionary processes. He argues that these systems necessitate the existence of an intelligent designer. In contrast, Oppy challenges Behe's reasoning by proposing alternative explanations for the complexity of these systems, such as gene duplication, co-option, and genetic synergy. Additionally, Oppy critiques Behe's argument by highlighting the ambiguity in defining irreducible complexity and the non-sequitur of inferring theism from it. This study employs an analytical-critical approach to dissect Behe's argument and its key components, including the definition of irreducible complexity and biological evidence. The findings indicate that Oppy's criticisms, while challenging, are insufficient to fully refute Behe's argument. By defending the logical and scientific validity of Behe's reasoning, the author concludes that the intelligent design theory remains a defensible alternative to the theory of evolution.

Volume 4. Issue 1. Spring and Summer 2025. Pages 161 to 180.

Extended Abstract

1. Introduction

In the realm of biology and philosophy, few debates have been as contentious as the one surrounding Michael Behe's concept of "irreducible complexity." Introduced in his influential book, Darwin's Black Box (1996), Behe argues that certain biological systems are so intricately interdependent that they could not have evolved through gradual evolutionary processes. At the heart of the debate lies the question of whether the complexity of biological systems can be explained by gradual evolution or if it necessitates the intervention of an intelligent designer. Behe contends that such systems, exemplified by structures like the bacterial flagellum and the immune system, exhibit a level of complexity that demands an intelligent designer. In contrast, philosopher Graham Oppy challenges Behe's assertions, arguing that his interpretations of evolutionary theory are fundamentally flawed. Oppy posits that natural selection can indeed account for the emergence of complex biological features, thereby undermining Behe's claims of irreducible complexity. This paper examines the scientific and philosophical validity of Michael Behe's irreducible complexity argument and scrutinizes Graham Oppy's critiques of it. Central to this inquiry is the question: Can the irreducible complexity argument serve as a valid evidence for intelligent design in nature, or do philosophical and scientific critiques (such as those posed by Graham Oppy) render it invalid?

2. Methods

This study employs an analytical-critical approach to elucidate Behe's argument and its key components, including the definition of irreducible complexity and biological evidence. It then evaluates Oppy's critiques, which challenge the clarity of Behe's definition, the sufficiency of his evidence, and the logical necessity of inferring intelligent design from irreducible complexity.

3. Results

The findings indicate that while Oppy's critiques are challenging, they are insufficient to fully refute Behe's argument. Oppy questions the clarity of Behe's definition of irreducible complexity, suggests alternative explanations for biological complexity, and argues that Behe's argument does not provide empirical evidence for intelligent design. However, these critiques do not decisively undermine the logical and scientific coherence of Behe's position. Behe's definition, though open to interpretation, remains a plausible framework for analyzing certain biological systems. Moreover, while alternative explanations exist, they do not conclusively disprove the possibility of intelligent design. Finally, the absence of direct empirical evidence for intelligent design does not necessarily invalidate Behe's inferential argument.

In conclusion, the author defends the logical and scientific robustness of Behe's argument, asserting that Intelligent Design remains a viable alternative to the theory of evolution. While Oppy's critiques highlight important philosophical and scientific challenges, they do not fully dismantle Behe's case. The debate between Behe and Oppy underscores the ongoing dialogue between science and philosophy in understanding the origins of biological complexity. As this discussion continues to evolve, it serves as a reminder of the intricate relationship between empirical evidence and philosophical interpretation in shaping our understanding of life's complexities.

Funding

There is no funding support

Authors' contribution

The authors of this article are Seyyed Mohsen Hashemi and Mohammad Mohammad Rezaei.

Conflict of interest

Authors declared no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

I would like to express my gratitude to all the teachers who helped me write this article.

Volume 4. Issue 1. Spring and Summer 2025 Pages 161 to 180.

References

Hasanzadeh, Saleh, Rasouli, Mahmoud, Jahid, Mohsen, & Jafarian, Vahab. (2017). The Argument of Irreducible Complexity: A Critique of Michael Behe's View. Research on Science and Religion, 8(2), 23-43.

Seyyed Hasan Hosseini. (2012). "Irreducible Complexities, Teleological Arguments, and Darwinian Evolution: Theology or Atheism." Quarterly Journal of Science and Religion, Research Institute of Humanities and Cultural Studies, Year 3, Issue 1, Spring and Summer 2012, pp. 15-29.

Oppy, Graham (Editor) (2019), A Companion to Atheism and Philosophy, First, Wiley, Hoboken.

Oppy, Graham (2006), Arguing about God, First, New York, Cambridge University Press.

Oppy, Graham and Kenneth L. Pearce (2022), Is there a God, First, New York, Routledge.

Oppy, Graham (2018), Naturalisim and religion, First, New York, Routledge.

Oppy, Graham (2014), Reinventing philosophy of religion, First, Basingstoke, Palgrave Macmilian.

Oppy, Graham (2013), The best argument agisnt God, First, Basingstoke, Palgrave Macmilian.

Darwin, Charles (1859), The origin of Species, First, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, John Murray.

Dawkins, Richard (1995), River Out of Eden, First, United Kingdom, Basic Books.

Dawkins, Richard (2009), The Greatest Show on Earth: The Evidence for Evolution, First, United Kingdom, Basic Books.

Behe, Michael (1996), Darwin's Black Box, First, United States, Free Press.

Poole, Verla (2012). A Comprehensive Introduction To Intelligent Design, Published by: White Word Publications.